UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,188	12/12/2003	Georgios Stamatas	J&J-5092	2589
27777 7590 05/29/2008 PHILIP S. JOHNSON JOHNSON & JOHNSON ONE JOHNSON & JOHNSON PLAZA			EXAMINER	
			CHENG, JACQUELINE	
	N & JOHNSON PLAZ VICK, NJ 08933-7003	A	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3768	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/735,188	STAMATAS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JACQUELINE CHENG	3768	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 F This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under the second	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 11-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 11-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	own from consideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed as a policant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the Example 2.	cepted or b) objected to by the lead of a drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objection.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicationity documents have been receive nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	

Application/Control Number: 10/735,188 Page 2

Art Unit: 3737

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leffell (US 4,894,547). Leffell discloses a method and apparatus for inducing fluorescence in human skin for evaluating certain skin characteristics. Light in two different predetermined ultraviolet wavelength ranges are directed at sun-exposed skin such as the forehead (skin having undergone treatment). A ratio of the measured fluorescent intensity that is induced from each wavelength is then formed. This ratio is then compared to a ratio of the fluorescent intensity that is induced from two wavelengths that are directed at non-sun-exposed skin such as the buttocks (skin not exposed to the treatment). By comparing the ratios one can determine the effect of the sun has on the skin (col. 2 line 15-20, col. 2 line 53-68, col. 4 line 56-60). Although Leffell does not explicitly disclose the two fluorescent emissions being about 295 nm and about 390 nm to 410 nm, Leffell does discloses using any two wavelength ranges within the ultraviolet range. As

Application/Control Number: 10/735,188 Page 3

Art Unit: 3737

these ranges fall within the ultraviolet range, it would be obvious to use 295 nm and about 390-410 nm. Leffell also does not explicitly disclose measuring the intensity of about 340 nm and about 440 nm. However it is well known in the art that directing light in the 295 nm range towards the skin causes the skin to fluoresce at approximately 345 nm, and that directing light in the about 370 nm range causes the skin to fluoresce at approximately 420-520 nm (see col. 9 line 4-10 and col. 10 line 29-33 of US 2002/0016534 to Trepagnier), therefore if the fluorescent emissions of about 295 nm and about 390-410 nm were used it would be obvious to measure at intensities of about 340 nm and 440 nm.

4. Although the main embodiment of Leffell is drawn to comparing sun-exposed skin to non-sun exposed skin, Leffell also discloses that his invention can be used to monitor improvement in skin relating to treatment (col. 9 line 15-25). In order to monitor improvement in skin it would be obvious that one must monitor the same area in order to be able to tell how the skin improves over time.

Conclusion

- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JACQUELINE CHENG whose telephone number is (571)272-5596. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 10:00-6:30.
- 6. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 571-272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,188 Page 4

Art Unit: 3737

7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Brian L Casler/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit

3737

JC